

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,685	RAO, SUNDAR MOHAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tri V. Nguyen	1751

All Participants:

Status of Application: non final

(1) Tri V. Nguyen. (3) _____.

(2) Christina Geerlof (App. Rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 September 2007

Time: 5pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5-10, 12, 14-46

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to amend claims 1, 5-8, 10 and 14 and cancel claims 9, 12, 15-46 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)